

20 September 2011 [17-11]

APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT

| A1065 | Date Received: 28 July 2011 | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Date Due for completion of Administrative Assessment: 18 August 2011 | | | | |
| | Date Administrative Assessment Completed: 17 August 2011 | | | | |
| Applicant: National Foods | | | Potentially Affected Standard in the Code: | | |
| Title: Packagii | | | | | |
| | | | Standard 2.5.1 – Milk | | |
| Brief Descripti | | | | | |
| To remove the ophytosterols. | | | | | |
| Procedure: | | Estimated total hours: Up to 350 | Estimated start | | |
| General | | hours | work: | | |
| | | | Early October 2011 | | |
| | | Reasons why: | | | |
| | | Phytosterols, phytostanols and their esters are already permitted in milk under the Code. This Application seeks a modification of an existing permission. As a result of processing other phytosterol applications and reviews, much of the background knowledge required for this Application is already available inhouse. | | | |

DECISION

| Application accepted | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Date: 17 August 2011 | |

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No ✓

What documents are affected?

Not applicable

Has the Applicant provided justification for Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No ✓

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No ✓

If so, has the Applicant made an election to have FSANZ give public notice calling for submissions under s.51 of the FSANZ Act?

Not applicable

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No ✓

Details: Not applicable

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes No ✓

If yes, indicate the reason:

Not applicable

If yes, indicate due date for fees to be paid (20 business days after notification of Admin Assess made). Note that if fees are not received by this date, the Application is automatically rejected.

Due date for fees: Not applicable

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No ✓ Not known

Application Handbook Requirements

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the Application Handbook apply to this Application:

3.1 General Requirements and 3.6.1 Standardised Foods

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guideline/s?

Yes**√** No

Is the checklist completed?

Yes**√** No

What information is not provided?

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes**√** No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No√

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes√ No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME

| Consultation Strateg | y: | Community |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Proposed length of pu | olic consultation period: | Involvement |
| General Procedure | (6 weeks) | Category: |
| | | Low intensity, broader focus |

Proposed Timeframe for Assessment:

'Early Bird Notification' due: 21 September 2011

General Procedure:

Commence Assessment (clock start) Mid-November 2011
Completion of Assessment Mid-February 2012

Public comment Mid-February 2012– early April 2012

Board to complete Approval

Notification to Ministerial Council

Anticipated gazettal if no review requested

Late July 2012

Early August 2012

Mid-October 2012